HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HEARINGS

BEFORE THE COMMITTEE on

STATIONERS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

TENDENC HUBENCES

Puesa ... September 21, 1976

Weshington, D. C.

Official Reporters to Committees

GPO 16-75107-1

l

in Room H-310, The Capitol, Honorable John J. Flynt, Jr. (chairman of the committee) presiding.

Representatives Flynt, Price, Hebert, Foley, Present: Bennett, Spence, Quillen, Hutchinson, Quie, Mitchell, and Cochran.

Also present: John W. Swanner, Staff Director; Jay Jaffe, Assistant to the Staff Director; John Marshall, Legal Counsel; David Bowers, Investigator; Andrew Whalen, Staff Counsel; Miss Jan Loughry, Staff Counsel; and Miss Nancy Otis, Clerk.

Copeland

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

₂ 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
         Mr. Flynt. The committee will please come to order.
 2
         The Staff Director will please call the roll.
 3
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Flynt?
 4
         Mr. Flynt. Here.
 5
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Spence?
 6
         Mr. Spence. Here.
 7
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Price?
 8
         (No response.)
 9
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Quillen?
10
         Mr. Quillen. Here.
11
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Teague?
12
         (No response.)
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Hutchinson?
13
         (No response.)
14
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Hebert?
15
         (No response.)
16
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Quie?
17
         (No response.)
18
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Foley?
19
         Mr. Foley. Present.
20
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Mitchell?
21
         Mr. Mitchell. Here.
22
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Bennett?
23
         Mr. Bennett. Here.
24
         Mr. Swanner. Mr. Cochran?
25
```

Mr. Cochran. Here.

Mr. Swanner. Mr. Chairman, seven Members answer present; five Members absent, not voting.

Mr. Flynt. On this roll call to ascertain the presence of a quorum, seven Members, a quorum is present.

Will you mark Mr. Hutchinson present? Eight Members are present.

Does the gentleman from Tennessee seek recognition?

Mr. Quillen. Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move pursuant to

House Rule XI 2(g)(l) that further proceedings of this committee meeting be closed to the public today.

Mr. Flynt. You have heard the motion.

Mr. Bennett. I would like to have it discussed.

Mr. Flynt. I would like for counsel to advise the committee on this point at this time, and then, of course, I will recognize any Members who desire recognition.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Chairman, in my judgment, the committee will be considering actions today which, under the Rules of the House, the committee should at least initially consider in Executive Session. Among the matters which the committee may wish to consider will be a decision as to whether certain proceedings should be begun under 2 U.S.C. 192 through 194. It will be considering other matters as to whether some other action should be initiated under the Rules of the House and under the laws of the country. In view of that, and in view of the

. 18

need for the committee to obtain advice of counsel on that, and in order for the committee to further weigh all of the evidence so it may make a decision based on all the evidence, it is my judgment and legal advice to the committee that the committee should consider these matters initially in Executive Session.

Should the committee decide later it wants the committee public, the committee has that right under the Rules of the House of Representatives.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett.

Mr. Bennett. Well, I am not really very much at ease with the rule we have to live by which says anything that comes up negative, we have to go into secret session, but I realize we don't control this. In other words, that is a rule binding on the committees and is not waiverable. Therefore, we have to do that, and we have done that most of the meetings.

It seems to me like this is purely a procedural matter today to decide whether or not we are going to cite contempt in the Schorr case, and it doesn't strike me that is going to reveal anything that is violative of that rule. There is nothing about Mr. Schorr that is not completely public. He has never said anything in Executive Session, I don't believe, has he? It has all been open, so how can there be something violative of that rule?

Mr. Marshall. To respond, in the event the committee

determines that it wishes to take up the failure of any witness to answer a question and to make recommendations to the House of Representatives concerning that failure, this would basically be a procedure under 2 U.S.C. 192 through 194. This defines that a willful failure to answer a pertinent inquiry by a duly authorized committee constitutes a misdemeanor. Therefore, the committee would be determining whether it wishes to initiate certain steps under this code, and that is accuse someone or make a recommendation about whether a person is guilty of a misdemeanor. This kind of deliberation must be in Executive Session for the protection of the person or persons involved.

Mr. Bennett. In view of what you have said, you have said to handle this matter we have to at least consider a statute which has to do with a criminal matter, and therefore it falls right in the teeth of the rule by which this committee is compelled to comply, really. That is what you are saying, isn't it?

Mr. Marshall. I am saying for the protection of the persons involved, so that the committee will simply not make deliberations without those deliberations at least being initially in Executive Session. Should the committee wish to publish those later, the committee might consider that, too.

Mr. Flynt. If the gentleman would yield; or to go from Executive Session into public session at any time during the

= 18

. 18

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence.

proceedings that the committee feels that it should.

Mr. Bennett. Yes, I must say in view of what the attorney has said, we probably would be violating this rule. I do think if we ever get any time to revise that rule, it might be a good idea, because it seems to me that it is making us appear to desire to be secret when there really isn't any real desire to be secret. It doesn't really serve a useful purpose, and I think the rule should be amended.

We have to live by the rule, since we can't amend it, but I am saying it is something that should be given some thought in the future.

Mr. Flynt. Thank you, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. Mitchell. Mr. Chairman, I think everyone on the committee likes the concept of openness, and we have all supported that type of legislation, I am sure, on the Floor. But it is my understanding, and I would like to have one of our counsels research this, that this rule was adopted as a result of the abuses of the McCarthy hearings. Many innocent people were defamed and degraded and reputations were ruined and surely this committee doesn't want to participate in that type of situation.

So I think it is a good rule and maybe it can be modified, but there is great likelihood we can defame and degrade, and we don't wish to do that.

Mr. Spence. If Mr. Bennett would yield.

2

Mr. Flynt. Are you through, Mr. Mitchell?

3

Mr. Mitchell. Yes, sir.

Mr. Spence.

4

Mr. Flynt. I recognize Mr. Spence.

5 6

anything, we will be talking today, of course, about people

I was going to add, if it would contribute

7

other than Mr. Schorr, very possibly the people who were wit-

8

nesses last week, and certainly things will be brought out that

9

might tend to cast reflection on other people, and if I could,

10

while I still have the time, I don't know if everyone saw the

11

news article in the Post this morning about the Senate intelli-

12

gence panel approving strict rules on secrecy.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think this has a lot of bearing on our deliberations from the standpoint of our report, possibly, and what we are talking about here, because here is a committee after, I am sure, thorough consideration of the matter and what has gone on in both Houses from the standpoint of these so-called intelligence committees, they have adopted very strict rules, and I would like to put this article, if I might, Mr. Chairman, into the record, because it could help us very much, I think, in our consideration of what we might recommend in our report to the full House.

Mr. Flynt. Without objection, the article will be included at this point in the record.

(The article referred to follows:)

Mr. Spence. If I can just read one paragraph, "The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence has adopted secrecy rules so strict that they prohibit members from passing on to other senators, even by way of summary, non-classified information without the specific approval of a majority of its members."

This goes beyond what we have heretofore experienced in secrecy rules, and I think they are facing up to the fact in this country you do have to have, as one person put it the other day, secrets, and I think most people agree.

Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, reserving the right to object, and I will not object, I am not sure I see the relevance of this particular report to the proceedings this morning, but I have no objection to including it in the record.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Foley.

Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, I have some reluctant disagreement with the distinguished counsel of the committee. It seems to me that insofar as the committee may be considering this morning any possible recommendations resulting from the refusal of any witnesses to testify or produce documents before the committee, that those deliberations and any possible action should take place in public session. It seems to me that the spirit of this particular rule is to prevent testimony of witnesses concerning the activities of persons that if released would incriminate, defame, or otherwise degrade their reputations and witnesses that last appeared before the committee

.

₂ 18

testified and in some cases refused to answer questions in open session, and the whole matter has been discussed widely in the press, and it doesn't seem to me that any procedural motions or action taken by the committee could possibly work to the disadvantage of any of those witnesses.

Since, as far as I know, we are not going to receive any testimony today that would touch upon their conduct that isn't already widely known, I see no reason to close the proceedings, at least on those particular issues.

Now, if the committee discusses other persons or other testimony that was received in Executive Session, there may be a valid purpose in invoking the rule, but at least so far as the witnesses are concerned, the questions of their compliance or non-compliance with the subpoenas or any action taken with respect to their testimony or failure to produce documents, that it seems to me to have been conducted in open session and the activities of this committee should also be in open session. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. Thank you, Mr. Foley.

Do you withdraw your reservation or objection to the unanimous consent request?

Mr. Foley. I did so.

Mr. Flynt., Mr. Cochran.

Mr. Cochran. I have no comment, Mr. Chairman. I am ready to vote on the motion.

1 Mr. Flynt. Is there further discussion on the motion of 2 the gentleman from Tennessee? 3 This is a motion which, under the Rules of the House, must 4 be made in public session with a quorum of the committee pres-5 ent. Further, under the Rules of the House, this vote must 6 be taken by record vote. 7 Mr. Quie. Mr. Chairman, is this the motion that we go 8 into Executive Session? 9 Mr. Flynt. Yes. 10 The Staff Director will call the roll. 11 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Flynt? 12 Mr. Flynt. Aye. 13 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Spence? 14 Mr. Spence. Aye. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Price? 15 (No response.) 16 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Quillen? 17 Mr. Quillen. Aye. ູ 18 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Teague? 19 (No response.) 20 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Hutchinson? 21 Mr. Hutchinson. 22 Aye. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Hebert? 23 (No response.) 24 Mr. Swanner. Mr. Quie? 25

22

23

24

25

Mr. Quie. No. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Foley? Mr. Foley. No. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Mitchell? Mr. Mitchell. Aye. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Bennett. Mr. Bennett. No. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Cochran? Mr. Cochran. Aye. Mr. Swanner. Mr. Chairman, six Members answer aye; three Members answer no; three Members absent, not voting.

Mr. Flynt. On this vote, by roll call, the ayes are six; the nays are three, three Members not voting. A majority of a quorum having voted in the affirmative, accordingly, the motion prevails and following the announcement which the Chair is about to make, the committee will go into Executive Session.

The Ranking Minority Member, Mr. Spence and I, have discussed both the substantive matters to be taken up in Executive Session today and also the procedural matters. There are matters other than matters of procedure alone which will be opened up and gone into.

At this point in time, we have no idea whether the Executive Session part of today's meeting will last most of the day or whether it can be concluded in about an hour and a half. As I say, there are many things which counsel desired to bring to the

.

_ 18

attention of the committee in addition to the one matter of procedure which has been discussed. I want to emphasize that the procedural matter is not the only thing to come before the committee today.

It is now approximately 10:20. At the risk of going into public session in a much shorter time, the Chair is presently of the opinion and makes this statement so that those present may be guided thereby; the Chair does not anticipate that we will go into public session for at least an hour and forty minutes. I make that statement to give you some idea so that you can make your plans for the remainder of the morning.

If we do go back into public session, I am sure that Mr. Jaffe has some way that he can advise the respective gatherers and you will be so advised.

But pursuant to the roll call vote just taken, the committee now resolves itself into Executive Session.

(Whereupon, at 10:20 a.m., the committee proceeded into Executive Session.)

(The committee proceeded into open session at 12:08 p.m.)

Mr. Flynt. The committee will please come to order. The committee is in public session.

The Chair would like to state that at the time that the committee went into Executive Session the Chair was hopeful that motions could be made, adequately discussed, and voted on at a time to be agreed upon.

At one point in time, the committee tentatively agreed to begin voting at 11:50, but by unanimous consent of the committee, with eleven members present, that tentative agreement to begin voting at 11:50 was vacated, and I now recognize the gentleman from Washington for the purpose of making a statement and for the purpose of making a motion following such statement if he so desires.

Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, first of all, I want to say that I was under some misunderstanding when the original vote to go into Executive Session was taken, and it was my understanding that the motion would be made under the Rules of the House which require Executive Sessions when any witness testifies which may tend to defame, degrade or incriminate any person.

The record having indicated that the motion was made in general according to committee rules for Executive Sessions, I want to state for the record my misunderstanding of the motion at the time.

Secondly, I would like to state that the Chairman and the

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

members of the committee, particularly the Chairman, has been fully cooperative in an effort for careful consideration of any matters that relate to the possibility of action by the committee with respect to the conduct of any witness before the committee, particularly at a public session on September 15, 1976, and I feel that the Chairman and the committee are desirous of most careful deliberation on that subject or any motions that might be made thereunder. Mr. Chairman, I accordingly move -
Mr. Flynt. Would the gentleman yield to me for a question?

Mr. Foley. Yes.

Mr. Flynt. Is the thrust of the gentleman's statement to the effect that even though we have had substantial discussion of this, that if the committee approves your motion, that the committee feels that it has not adequately discussed it before voting?

Mr. Foley. That is my judgment, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. I would like to inquire if that is the judgment of other members of the committee as well.

Mr. Bennett. There were other motions, too?

Mr. Flynt. As far as I know, no motion has been made.

Mr. Bennett. I mean discussion.

Mr. Flynt. We have discussed.

Mr. Bennett. You used the word motion.

Mr. Flynt. I was talking about the motion of the gentleman

. 18

from Washington which he is going to make in just a minute. That is the motion to which I have reference. Because while we discussed certain motions, let me say that the Chair was continuing to, at one time, press for the motions to be made and voted on preferably in open session, but as the artificial deadline of 11:50 approached and we saw we could not do it with matters still pending on which members of the committee desired further discussion, the motions were never actually made in due and proper form.

Thank you for yielding, Mr. Foley.

Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, I move that further deliberation by the committee on any matters relating to possible motions or their adoption touching upon the conduct of certain witnesses called before this committee in public session on September 15, 1976, be deferred until the meeting to be called by the Chairman of this committee for ten a.m. tomorrow, September 22, 1976, in this room.

Mr. Flynt. You have heard the motion.

Mr.Quie. Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. I recognize Mr. Quie.

Mr. Quie. I would just ask that anyone who is going to make such a motion have the motion in writing and available for all the members to read at the time we do so vote.

Mr. Flynt. I would certainly concur in that as a sugges-

-

Mr. Quie. As a suggestion.

Mr. Flynt. But I would not, in a meeting today, want to bind members, to restrict them from making motions which they had not put into writing.

Mr. Quie. My suggestion is only a suggestion.

Mr. Flynt. I think the suggestion is great; I do.

Is there further discussion?

The question is on the motion of the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Foley, that the committee take no further action on this matter until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock, and the Chairman be directed to call a meeting for 10:00 a.m. Wednesday, September 22, 1976, in Room H-310.

As many as favor the motion will indicate by a show of hands.

As many as oppose the motion will indicate by a show of hands.

On this vote by division the ayes are eleven; the nays are none. The Chair hereby gives oral notice to be confirmed by written notice that this committee will reconvene in this room at ten o'clock tomorrow morning, Wednesday, September 22, 1976.

Do I hear a motion the committee adjourn?

Mr. Bennett. So move.

Mr. Flynt. Without objection, the committee stands adjourned until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock.

(Whereupon, at 12:15 p.m., the committee adjourned, to reconvene at 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, September 22, 1976.)

__Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800060001-8